Fred W. Schwinn (SBN 225575) 1 CONSUMER LAW CENTER, INC. 2011 DEC 16 A 10: 30 E-filing 2 12 South First Street, Suite 1014 San Jose, California 95113-2418 3 Telephone Number: (408) 294-6100 RICHARD W. WIEKING Facsimile Number: (408) 294-6190 4 Email Address: fred.schwinn@sjconsumerlaw.com 5 Attorney for Plaintiff ALLEN ANSARI 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN JOSE DIVISION (IÒ HA ALLEN ANSARI, 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 13 PATENAUDE & FELIX, A 14 PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, a 15 United States Code § 1692 et seq. California corporation; MICHAEL R. California Civil Code § 1788 et seq. 15 BOULANGER, individually and in his official capacity, 16 Defendants. 17 18 Plaintiff, ALLEN ANSARI, based on information and belief and investigation of counsel, 19 except for those allegations which pertain to the named Plaintiff or his attorneys (which are alleged on 20 personal knowledge), hereby makes the following allegations: 21 I. INTRODUCTION 22 23 1. This is an action for actual damages, statutory damages, attorney fees and costs 24 brought by an individual consumer for Defendants' violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 25 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 26 California Civil Code § 1788, et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA") which prohibit debt collectors from 27 engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices. 28

2. According to 15 U.S.C. § 1692:

- a. There is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors. Abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy.
- b. Existing laws and procedures for redressing these injuries are inadequate to protect consumers.
- c. Means other than misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices are available for the effective collection of debts.
- d. Abusive debt collection practices are carried on to a substantial extent in interstate commerce and through means and instrumentalities of such commerce. Even where abusive debt collection practices are purely intrastate in character, they nevertheless directly affect interstate commerce.
- e. It is the purpose of this title to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.
 - 3. The California Legislature has found that:

The banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts. Unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers.¹

II. JURISDICTION

4. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1337, and

Cal. Civil Code § 1788.1(a)(1).

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

28

27

supplemental jurisdiction exists for the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

5. This action arises out of Defendants' violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA").

III. VENUE

6. Venue in this judicial district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district. Venue is also proper in this judicial district pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), in that Defendants transact business in this judicial district and the violations of the FDCPA complained of occurred in this judicial district.

IV. INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

7. This lawsuit should be assigned to the San Jose Division of this Court because a substantial part of the events or omissions which gave rise to this lawsuit occurred in Santa Clara County.

V. PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff, ALLEN ANSARI (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Santa Clara County, California. Plaintiff is a "consumer" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and a "debtor" within the meaning of Cal. Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- 9. Defendant, PATENAUDE & FELIX, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, (hereinafter "P&F"), is a California corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts in this state with its principal place of business located at: 4545 Murphy Canyon Road, 3rd Floor, San Diego, California 92123-4363. P&F may be served as follows: Patenaude & Felix, APC, c/o Raymond Patenaude, Agent for Service, 4545 Murphy Canyon Road, 3rd Floor, San Diego, California 92123-

4363. The principal business of P&F is the collection of debts using the mails and telephone and P&F regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another. P&F is a "debt collector" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and Cal. Civil Code § 1788.2(c).

10. Defendant, MICHAEL R. BOULANGER (hereinafter "BOULANGER"), is a natural person and licensed attorney in the state of California and is or was an employee and/or agent of P&F at all relevant times. BOULANGER may be served at his current business address at: Michael R. Boulanger, Patenaude & Felix, APC, 4545 Murphy Canyon Road, 3rd Floor, San Diego, California 92123-4363. The principal purpose of BOULANGER's business in the collection consumer debts due or alleged to be due another. BOULANGER is regularly engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts by filing and maintaining numerous civil debt collection lawsuits on behalf of others and obtaining judgments in those lawsuits by utilizing the U.S. Mail, telephone and internet. BOULANGER is a "debt collector" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

11. At all times herein mentioned, each of the Defendants was an officer, director, agent, servant, employee and/or joint venturer of his co-defendants, and each of them, and at all said times, each Defendant was acting in the full course and scope of said office, directorship, agency, service, employment and/or joint venture. Any reference hereafter to "Defendants" without further qualification is meant by Plaintiff to refer to each Defendant, and all of them, named above.

VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

12. On a date or dates unknown to Plaintiff, Plaintiff is alleged to have incurred a financial obligation, namely a consumer credit account (hereinafter "the alleged debt"). The alleged debt was incurred primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) and a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by Cal. Civil Code § 1788.2(f).

- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that sometime thereafter on a date unknown to Plaintiff, the alleged debt was sold, assigned or otherwise transferred to Equable Ascent Financial, LLC.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that sometime thereafter on a date unknown to Plaintiff, the alleged debt was consigned, placed or otherwise transferred to Defendants for collection from Plaintiff.
- 15. On or about February 18, 2011, Defendants filed a lawsuit against Plaintiff in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County captioned Equable Ascent Financial, LLC f/k/a Hilco Receivables, LLC v. Allen Ansari, Case No. 111CV195133 (hereinafter the "Equable v. Ansari complaint"), which sought to collect \$12,408.02 in damages.
- 16. A true and accurate copy of the *Equable v. Ansari* complaint is attached hereto, marked Exhibit "1," and by this reference is incorporated herein.
- 17. The *Equable v. Ansari* complaint (Exhibit "1") falsely states that Plaintiff became indebted directly to Equable Ascent Financial, LLC.
 - 18. The Equable v. Ansari complaint (Exhibit "1") falsely states that:

Plaintiff (name): EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC F/K/A HILCO RECEIVABLES, LLC, alleges that Defendant(s) (name): ALLEN ANSARI, became indebted to plaintiff within the last four years because an account was stated in writing by and between plaintiff and defendant in which it was agreed that defendant was indebted to plaintiff.

19. The Equable v. Ansari complaint (Exhibit "1") falsely states that:

Plaintiff (name): EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC F/K/A HILCO RECEIVABLES, LLC, alleges that Defendant(s) (name): ALLEN ANSARI, became indebted to plaintiff within the last four years other (specify): this cause of action is based upon account number XXXXXXXXXXXXX294152 for the sum of which Defendant has been unjustly enriched by virtue of Defendant receiving the monetary or other benefit, by Defendant knowingly requesting the funds at issue and/or accepting the benefits bestowed. It is inequitable for Defendant to retain said benefits without repaying Plaintiff the value thereof.

- 20. At no time has there been a written agreement between Plaintiff and Equable Ascent Financial, LLC, nor has Plaintiff breached any written agreement with Equable Ascent Financial, LLC.
- 21. The Equable v. Ansari complaint (Exhibit "1") falsely states that: "Plaintiff (name): EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC F/K/A HILCO RECEIVABLES, LLC alleges that defendant (name): ALLEN ANSARI became indebted to plaintiff within the last four years because an account was stated in writing between plaintiff and defendant in which it was agreed that defendant was indebted to plaintiff."
- 22. At no time was an account stated in writing between Equable Ascent Financial, LLC and Plaintiff, nor has Plaintiff ever agreed that he was indebted to Equable Ascent Financial, LLC.
- 23. At no time did Plaintiff become directly indebted to Equable Ascent Financial, LLC, as alleged in the *Equable v. Ansari* complaint (Exhibit "1").
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the *Equable v. Ansari* complaint (Exhibit "1") misrepresented the character, amount and legal status of the alleged debt.
- 25. The *Equable v. Ansari* complaint (Exhibit "1") bears an electronic signature believed to be that of Defendant, BOULANGER.
- 26. The *Equable v. Ansari* complaint (Exhibit "1") represented or implied that Plaintiff's account had been reviewed by Defendant, BOULANGER.
- 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that BOULANGER did not conduct a professional review of Plaintiff's account before drafting and filing the *Equable v. Ansari* complaint (Exhibit "1") and sending it to the Plaintiff. *See Clomon v. Jackson*, 988 F.2d 1314 (2nd Cir. 1993) and *Avila v. Rubin*, 84 F.3d 222, 228-29 (7th Cir. 1996).
 - 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the Equable v. Ansari

complaint (Exhibit "1") misrepresented the role and involvement of legal counsel.

- 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the *Equable v. Ansari* complaint (Exhibit "1") misrepresented the true source or nature of the communication thereby making false statements in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 30. By drafting and filing the *Equable v. Ansari* complaint (Exhibit "1"), Defendants attempted to collect a nonexistent debt from Plaintiff, an action that cannot lawfully be taken.
- 31. After being served with the *Equable v. Ansari* complaint (Exhibit "1"), Plaintiff retained legal counsel to defend him, thereby incurring actual damages in the form of attorney's fees and costs. See, *Venes v. Professional Service Bureau, Inc.*, 353 N.W.2d 671, 675 (Minn. Ct. App. 1984).
- 32. As a result of Defendant's abusive debt collection practices, Plaintiff has incurred actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 33. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants have filed and served standard form complaints in the form of Exhibit "1" on more than 40 persons in California in the one year preceding the filing of this Complaint. Therefore, Plaintiff may seek leave to amend this Complaint to add class allegations at a later date.

VII. CLAIMS

FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 34. Plaintiff brings the first claim for relief against Defendants under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.
- 35. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 36. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §

1692a(3).

- 37. Defendant, P&F, is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 38. Defendant, BOULANGER, is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 39. The financial obligation alleged to be owed by Plaintiff is a "debt" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 40. Defendants have violated the FDCPA. The violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Defendants made and used false, deceptive and misleading representations in an attempt to collect the alleged debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692e(10);
 - b. Defendants misrepresented the character, amount or legal status of the alleged debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A);
 - c. Defendants falsely represented or implied that attorney BOULANGER had professionally reviewed Plaintiff's account when BOULANGER had not done so, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(3) and 1692e(10);
 - d. Defendants falsely represented the role and involvement of legal counsel, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(3) and 1692e(10);
 - e. Defendants misrepresented the true source or nature of the communication, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(3) and 1692e(10); and
 - f. Defendants attempted to collect a nonexistent debt from Plaintiff, an action that cannot lawfully be taken, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(5) and 1692e(10).
 - 41. Defendants' acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of

coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.

42. As a result of Defendants' violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages, statutory damages, costs and reasonable attorneys fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 43. Plaintiff brings the second claim for relief against Defendant, P&F, under the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("RFDCPA"), California Civil Code §§ 1788-1788.33.
- 44. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. Plaintiff is a "debtor" as that term is defined by the RFDCPA, Cal. Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- 46. Defendant, P&F, is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the RFDCPA, Cal. Civil Code § 1788.2(c).
- 47. The financial obligation alleged to be owed by Plaintiff is a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by the RFDCPA, Cal. Civil Code § 1788.2(f).
- 48. Defendant, P&F, has violated the RFDCPA. The violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. P&F made and used false, deceptive and misleading representations in an attempt to collect the alleged debt, in violation of Cal. Civil Code § 1788.17;²
 - b. P&F misrepresented the character, amount or legal status of the alleged debt, in violation of Cal. Civil Code § 1788.17;³
 - c. P&F falsely represented or implied that attorney BOULANGER had

² 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692e(10).

³ 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A).

professionally reviewed Plaintiff's account when BOULANGER had not done so, in violation of Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.13(i), 1788.16 and 1788.17;⁴

- d. P&F falsely represented the role and involvement of legal counsel, in violation of Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.13(i), 1788.16 and 1788.17;⁵
- e. P&F misrepresented the true source or nature of the communication, in violation of Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.13(i), 1788.16 and 1788.17;6 and
- f. P&F attempted to collect a nonexistent debt from Plaintiff, an action that cannot lawfully be taken, in violation of Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.13(e) and 1788.17.7
- 49. Defendant, P&F's, acts as described above were done willfully and knowingly with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt, within the meaning of Cal. Civil Code § 1788.30(b).
- 50. As a result of P&F's violations of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 1788.30(a).
- 51. As a result of P&F's willful and knowing violations of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of a statutory penalty in an amount not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor greater than one thousand dollars (\$1,000), pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 1788.30(b).
- 52. As a result of P&F's violations of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of statutory damages in an amount not exceeding \$1,000 pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 1788.17.8
- 53. As a result of P&F's violations of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.30(c) and 1788.17.9

⁴ 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(3) and 1692e(10).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(3) and 1692e(10).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(3) and 1692e(10).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(5) and 1692e(10).

^{8 15} U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(2)(A).

⁹ 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3).

Pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 1788.32, the remedies provided under the RFDCPA 54. are intended to be cumulative and in addition to any other procedures, rights or remedies that the Plaintiff may have under any other provision of law.

VIII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff requests that this Court:

- a) Assume jurisdiction in this proceeding;
- b) Declare that Defendants violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(3), 1692e(5) and 1692e(10);
- c) Declare that Defendant, P&F, violated the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.13(e), 1788.13(i), 1788.16 and 1788.17;
- d) Award Plaintiff actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) and Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.17 and 1788.30(a);
- e) Award Plaintiff statutory damages in an amount not exceeding \$1,000, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. $\S 1692k(a)(2)(A)$;
- f) Award Plaintiff a statutory penalty in an amount not less than \$100 nor greater than \$1,000, pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 1788.30(b);
- g) Award Plaintiff statutory damages in an amount not exceeding \$1,000, pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 1788.17;10
- h) Award Plaintiff the costs of this action and reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) and Cal. Civil Code §§ 1788.1711 and 1788.30(c); and
- i) Award Plaintiff such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

 ^{10 15} U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A).
 11 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3).

Case5:11-cv-06372-HRL Document1-2 Filed12/16/11 Page12 of 15

	PLD-C-001(20)	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, St. "umber, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
LAW OFFICES OF PATENAUDE & FIZE NAME OF PATENAUDE & FELIX (858) 836-0	18 Page 5 of 11	
Raymond A. Patenaude, (#128855) / Michael R. Boulanger, (#226294)	FILED Santa Clara County	
Michael D. Kahn, (#236898) / Tara Natarajan,(#263333) / Kevin S. Landrith, (#125739)	02/ 18 /11 3:48Pm	
4545 Murphy Canyon Road, 3rd Floor, San Diego, CA 92123 TELEPHONE NO.: (858) 244-7600 FAX NO. (Optional): (858) 836-0318	David H. Yamasaki	
TELEPHONE NO.: (858) 244-7600 FAX NO. (Optional): (858) 836-0318 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	Chief Executive Officer	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): PLAINTIFF	By: mrosales DTSCIV010097	
SUBEDIOD COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF CANTA OLADA	R#201100020760	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	CK \$370.00	
STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: 191 NO. FIRST STREET	TL , \$370.00	
CITY STATE AND ZIP CODE: SAN JOSE CA 95113	Case: 1/A1-20-195133	
BRANCH NAME: SANTA CLARA CIVIL DIVISION	1 /10	
PLAINTIFF: EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC F/K/A HILCO	l Donnie	
RECEIVABLES LLC	My researce	
DEFENDANT(S): ALLEN ANSARI,		
and DOES 1 through 15, inclusive		
CONTRACT	- -	
☐ COMPLAINT ☐ AMENDED COMPLAINT (Number):		
CROSS-COMPLAINT AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT (Number)		
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER:	
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000		
exceeds \$10,000 but does not exceed \$25,000	7776770	
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	111CV195133	
ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint or cross-complaint		
from limited to unlimited from unlimited to limited		
1. Plaintiff* (name or names): EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC F/K/A	HILCO RECEIVABLES LLC	
alleges causes of action against defendant(s)* (name or names): ALLEN ANSARI,		
2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pages: 3		
3. a. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult		
except plaintiff (name): EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC F/K/A HILCO RECEIVABLES LLC		
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): 		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(3) Sother (specify): Foreign Corporation	•	
b. Plaintiff (name):	and a state of the	
a. has complied with the fictitious business name laws and is doing business t	under the lictalous name (specify):	
 b, has complied with all licensing requirements as a licensed (specify): c. Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in A 	ttachment 3c	
 c. [_] Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in A 4. a. Each defendant(s) named above is a natural person 	itaonnen 50.	
except defendant (name):	t (name):	
	ss organization, form unknown	
(2) a corporation (2) a corporation		
	orporated entity (describe):	
	entity (describe):	
(5) other (specify): (5) other (sp		
	Page 1 of 2	
"If this form is used as a cross-complaint, plaintiff means cross-complainant and defendant means cross-defendant. Form Approved for Optional Use COMPLAINT—Contract	Code of Civil Procedure, § 425.12	
Form Approved for Optional Use Judidal Council of California PLD-C-001(20) [Rev. January 1, 2007]		

EXHIBIT

Case5:11-cv-06372-HRL Document1-2 Filed12/16/11 Page14 of 15

SHORT TITLE: EQUABLE ASCENT I: NCIAL, LLC F/K/A HILCO RECEIVABLES LI CASE NUMBER: VSALLEN ANSARI,	
2139-122307354 2010122311:25 PATENAUDE & FELIX (858) 836-0318 Page 6 of 11 4. (Continued)	
b. The true names of defendant(s) sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.	
(1) Doe defendant(s) (specify Doe numbers): were the agents or employees of the named defendant(s) and acted within the scope of that agency or employment.	
(2) Doe defendant(s) (specify Doe numbers): are persons whose capacities are unknown to plaintiff.	
c. Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained in Attachment 4c.	
d. Defendant(s) who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (names):	
5. Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and	
a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, or	
b. is excused from complying because (specify):	
6. This action is subject to Civil Code section 1812.10 Civil Code section 2984.4.	
7. This court is the proper court because	
a. a defendant(s) entered into the contract here.	
b. a defendant(s) lived here when the contract was entered into.	
c. 🔀 a defendant(s) lives here now.	
d. the contract was to be performed here.	
e. 🔲 a defendant(s) is a corporation or unincorporated association and its principal place of business is here.	
f. real property that is the subject of this action is located here.	
g. other (specify):	
8. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached):	
Breach of Contract	
Common Counts	
Other (specify):	
Other allegations: Before commencement of this action, in those cases where recovery of costs is dependent on such notices, Plaintiff informed the defendant(s) in writing it intended to file this action and that this action could result in a judgment against defendant(s) that would include court costs and necessary disbursements allowed by CCP Section 1033(b)(2). 10. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for	
a. 🛛 damages of: \$12,408.02	
b. interest on the damages	
(1) according to proof	
(2) at the rate of (specify): percent per year from (date):	
c. attorney's fees	
(i)	
(2) according to proof.	
d. other (specity): For such other relief as the Court deems just and fair.	
11. The paragraphs of this pleading alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers):	
Date: December 21, 2010	
MICHAEL BOULANGER, ESQ.	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)	
(If you wish to verify this pleading, affix a verification.) 6 of 11	
PLD-C-001(20) [Rev. January 1, 2007] COMPLAINT—Contract Page 2 of 2	

Case5:11-cv-06372-HRL Document1-2 Filed12/16/11 Page15 of 15

	PLD-C-001(2)
HORT TITLE: QUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC 12 1814/2011/250 2011/233 14/3BP EYENA WDE &FEUNL NSARI,	ASE NUMBER: (8.58) \$36-0318 Page 7 of 11
FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION—Common	Counts
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross-Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
GG-1. Plaintiff (name): EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC F	VK/A HILCO RECEIVABLES LLC
alleges that Defendant(s) (name): ALLEN ANSARI,	
became indebted to Aplaintiff other (name):	
 a. within the last four years on an open book account for money due. because an account was stated in writing by and betwee defendant was Indebted to plaintiff. within the last two years four years for money had and received by defendant for the use ar for which defendant promised to pay plaintiff the sum of he reasonable value. for goods, wares, and merchandise sold and delivered to pay plaintiff the sum of he in t	nd benefit of plaintiff. pecial instance and request of defendant and o defendant and for which defendant promised equest. ant at defendant's special instance and request. on account number XXXXXXXXXXXX294152 astly enriched by virtue of Defendant dant knowingly requesting the funds at issue
without repaying Plaintiff the value thereof. CC-2. \$12,408.02, which is the reasonable value, is due and unpaid despit plus prejudgment interestaccording to proofat the rate of from (date):	e plaintiff's demand,percent per year
CC-3. Plaintiff is entitled to attorney fees by an agreement or a statute of \$ according to proof.	
CC-4. ☐ Other: For such other and further relief as the Court deems ju	st and fair.
	Page 3
	Code of Civil Procedures, § 425.12
Form Approved for Optional Use CAUSE OF ACTION—Common C Judiciel Council of California	7 of 11

PLD-C-001(2) [January 1, 2009]